



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/580,856

11/21/2006

Jacques Defaye

0508-1163

5988

466 7590 04/15/2009

YOUNG & THOMPSON  
209 Madison Street  
Suite 500  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

LAU, JONATHAN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/580,856	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DEFAYE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jonathan S. Lau	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan S. Lau. (3) Robert A. Madsen.

(2) Shaojia Anna Jiang. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Oritz Mellet et al. (Chem Eur J, 2002, 8(9), p1982-1990), Kotter et al. (J Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 1, 1998, p2193-2200).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Amendments to claim language in order to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph regarding the carbamate protection, aromatic group substituents, biological recognition element and relative terminology were discussed. The rejection under 35 USC 103(a) and the teachings of the prior art was discussed. Applicant was advised that amendent AFTER FINAL to change the scope and breadth of the claim would not be entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623
--	--